

Non-Abelian gauge theory on noncommutative spaces

Peter Schupp

Sektion Physik, Universität München, Theresienstr. 37, 80333 München, Germany

E-mail: schupp@theorie.physik.uni-muenchen.de

ABSTRACT: We present a brief introduction to the construction of gauge theories on noncommutative spaces with star products. Particular emphasis is given to issues related to non-Abelian gauge groups and charge quantization. This talk is based on joined work with B. Jurčo, J. Madore, L. Möller, S. Schraml and J. Wess.

1. Introduction

The topic of this talk is the type of noncommutative gauge theory that has become a recent focus of interest in string theory, where it appears when one considers open strings in the presence of a background B -field [1]. In the following we are primarily interested in these noncommutative gauge theories as field theories, so it seems appropriate to present an intuitive approach to their construction that is independent of string theory (but closely related to ideas of matrix theory) [2, 3, 4].

A characteristic feature of noncommutative gauge theories is the emergence of many new interactions. This includes self-couplings of gauge bosons and may even include couplings between the photon and neutral particles. One may picture these new interactions as arising from the interplay of the gauge fields and noncommutative space-time. The formalism that we shall present is particularly well-suited to capture this phenomenon. It is also the only known approach that works for arbitrary gauge groups and representations.

2. Gauge theory on noncommutative spaces

When trying to replace the notions and concepts of commutative geometry in the more general noncommutative framework the basic strategy is to not consider the space-time manifold itself but rather the algebra of functions on it. In the noncommutative realm this algebra is replaced by an arbitrary associative algebra. We shall refer to elements of this algebra, e.g., fields $\hat{\Psi}$, $\hat{A}_\mu$, $\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}$, gauge parameters $\hat{\Lambda}$ and coordinates x^μ , as “functions on noncommutative space-time.” The simplest example of such a noncommutative space is given by the canonical structure $[x^\mu, x^\nu] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$, with a constant antisymmetric matrix $\theta^{\mu\nu}$. One could of course have more complicated structures, e.g., with commutation relations

that close linearly (Lie structure) or quadratically (quantum space structure). A priori there is no reason to expect that $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ is constant, but we shall concentrate on that case in the following for simplicity of presentation. We use the symbol $\star$ to denote the product of the noncommutative structure; this does not need to be a star product, but we are here especially interested in noncommutative structures that have a well-defined classical limit and lend themselves to a perturbative formulation, as is the case for star products.

The construction of a gauge theory on a given non-commutative space can be based on a few fundamental ideas: the concept of covariant coordinates, the requirement of locality, gauge equivalence and consistency conditions.

2.1 Covariant coordinates

The infinitesimal non-commutative gauge transformation of a fundamental matter field is

$$\hat{\delta}\hat{\Psi} = i\hat{\Lambda} \star \hat{\Psi}. \quad (2.1)$$

In the non-Abelian case the symbol $\star$ includes also matrix multiplication. We observe that multiplying $\hat{\Psi}$ on the left by a coordinate x^μ is not a covariant operation because the gauge parameter does not commute with it:

$$\hat{\delta}(x^\mu \star \hat{\Psi}) = ix^\mu \star \hat{\Lambda} \star \hat{\Psi} \neq i\hat{\Lambda} \star x^\mu \star \hat{\Psi}.$$

In complete analogy to the covariant derivatives of ordinary gauge theory we need to introduce covariant coordinates $X^\mu = x^\mu + \hat{A}^\mu$ where $\hat{A}^\mu$ is a non-commutative analog of the gauge potential. In the case of constant, non-degenerate $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ it is more convenient to work with $\hat{A}_\nu$, where $\hat{A}^\mu = \theta^{\mu\nu} \hat{A}_\nu$, with

$$\hat{\delta}\hat{A}_\mu = \partial_\mu \hat{\Lambda} + i[\hat{\Lambda} \star \hat{A}_\mu]. \quad (2.2)$$

Similarly, covariant functions $\mathcal{D}(f) = f + \mathcal{A}(f)$ can be introduced [5]. From the covariant coordinates one can construct further covariant objects including the non-commutative field strength

$$\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \hat{A}_\mu - i[\hat{A}_\mu \star \hat{A}_\nu], \quad \hat{\delta}\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} = i[\hat{\Lambda} \star \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}], \quad (2.3)$$

related to the commutator of covariant coordinates, and the covariant derivative

$$\hat{D}_\mu \hat{\Psi} = \partial_\mu \hat{\Psi} + i\hat{A}_\mu \star \hat{\Psi}, \quad (2.4)$$

related to the covariant expression $X^\mu \star \hat{\Psi} - \hat{\Psi} \star x^\mu$.

2.2 Classical limit and locality

A star product of two functions f, g is a power series in a formal order parameter $\hbar$ starting with the commutative product plus higher order terms chosen in such a way as to yield an associative product. It can be seen as a tower build upon its leading term that is determined by a Poisson tensor $\theta^{\mu\nu}$:

$$f \star g = f \cdot g + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu g \cdot \partial_\nu f + \dots \quad (2.5)$$

It is a natural to ask whether it is possible to express also the non-commutative fields $\hat{A}$, $\hat{\Psi}$ and non-commutative gauge parameter $\hat{\Lambda}$ in a similar fashion as towers build upon the corresponding ordinary fields A , Ψ and ordinary gauge parameter Λ . This is indeed the case; there are so-called Seiberg-Witten maps [1] that express the non-commutative quantities as local functions of the ordinary fields:

$$\hat{A}_\mu = A_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{\xi\nu}(A_\nu\partial_\xi A_\mu + F_{\xi\mu}A_\nu) + \dots \quad (2.6)$$

$$\hat{\Psi} = \Psi + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}A_\nu\partial_\mu\Psi + \dots \quad (2.7)$$

$$\hat{\Lambda} = \Lambda + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}A_\nu\partial_\mu\Lambda + \dots \quad (2.8)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i[A_\mu, A_\nu]$ is the ordinary field strength. By a local function of a field we mean a formal series in the deformation parameter $\hbar$ that at each order in $\hbar$ depends on the field and a finite number of derivatives of the field. We shall henceforth use a hat $\hat{}$ to denote non-commutative quantities that are supposed to be expanded as local functions of their classical counterparts via Seiberg-Witten maps.

2.3 Gauge equivalence and consistency condition

The Seiberg-Witten maps (2.6)–(2.8) have the remarkable property that ordinary gauge transformations $\delta_\Lambda A_\mu = \partial_\mu\Lambda + i[\Lambda, A_\mu]$ and $\delta_\Lambda\Psi = i\Lambda\cdot\Psi$ induce noncommutative gauge transformations (2.1), (2.2) of $\hat{A}$, $\hat{\Psi}$, $\hat{\Lambda}$. Furthermore, any pair of non-commutative gauge parameters $\hat{\Lambda}$, $\hat{\Sigma}$ has to satisfy the following consistency condition [4]

$$[\hat{\Lambda} \star \hat{\Sigma}] + i\delta_\Lambda\hat{\Sigma} - i\delta_\Sigma\hat{\Lambda} = \widehat{[\Lambda, \Sigma]}. \quad (2.9)$$

The gauge equivalence and consistency conditions do not uniquely determine Seiberg-Witten maps. To the order considered here we have the freedom of classical field redefinitions and noncommutative gauge transformations. We have used that freedom to obtain the particularly simple set of maps. Other choices may be more convenient in applications. A version with hermitean $\hat{\Lambda}$ can, e.g., be obtained from (2.6)–(2.8) by a noncommutative gauge transformation generated by $\frac{1}{4}\theta^{\mu\nu}a_\mu a_\nu$.

The freedom in the Seiberg-Witten map is essential for the renormalization of noncommutative gauge theory [6]. It is also important in the context of tensor products of gauge groups. For instance, for a field Φ that transforms on the left and on the right under two arbitrary gauge groups, we have the following hybrid Seiberg-Witten map,

$$\hat{\Phi} = \Phi + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}a_\nu\partial_\mu\Phi + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\Phi\tilde{a}_\nu + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}a_\nu\Phi\tilde{a}_\nu + \dots \quad (2.10)$$

Under $\delta\Phi = i\lambda\Phi + \Phi(i\tilde{\lambda})^\dagger$, $\delta a_\nu = \partial_\nu\lambda + i[\lambda, a_\nu]$, $\delta\tilde{a}_\nu = \partial_\nu\tilde{\lambda} + i[\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{a}_\nu]$ we find

$$\delta\hat{\Phi} = i\hat{\lambda}\star\hat{\Phi} + \hat{\Phi}\star(i\hat{\tilde{\lambda}})^\dagger. \quad (2.11)$$

3. Non-Abelian gauge groups in noncommutative setting

The commutator of two Lie algebra-valued noncommutative gauge parameters,

$$[\hat{\Lambda} \star \hat{\Lambda}'] = \frac{1}{2}\{\Lambda_a(x) \star \Lambda'_b(x)\}[T^a, T^b] + \frac{1}{2}[\Lambda_a(x) \star \Lambda'_b(x)]\{T^a, T^b\}, \quad (3.1)$$

does not close in the Lie algebra, because the coefficient of $\{T^a, T^b\}$ is in general non-zero. (The only important exception is $U(N)$ in the fundamental representation.) We thus have to consider enveloping algebra-valued noncommutative gauge parameters

$$\hat{\Lambda} = \Lambda_a^0(x)T^a + \Lambda_{ab}^1(x) : T^a T^b : + \Lambda_{abc}^2(x) : T^a T^b T^c : + \dots, \quad (3.2)$$

and fields $\hat{A}_\mu$ [2]. A priori, it appears that we then have an infinite number of degrees of freedom. Via the Seiberg-Witten map, however, all the terms in $\hat{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{A}_\mu$ can be expressed in terms of a finite number of classical parameters and fields.

Noncommutative Yang-Mills action

For constant θ the ordinary integral is a trace for the $\star$ -product: $\int f \star g = \int g \star f = \int fg$. An invariant action for the gauge potential and the matter fields is

$$S = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{2g^2} \text{tr} \hat{F}_{\mu\nu} \star \hat{F}^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\hat{\Psi}} \star (i\gamma^\mu \hat{D}_\mu - m) \hat{\Psi} \right],$$

where $\hat{D}_\mu \hat{\Psi} \equiv \partial_\mu \hat{\Psi} - i\hat{A}_\mu \star \hat{\Psi}$, $\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \hat{A}_\mu - i[\hat{A}_\mu \star \hat{A}_\nu]$. Expanding $\hat{A}_\mu$ and $\hat{\Psi}$ to first order in θ using a hermitean version of the Seiberg-Witten map yields

$$S = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{2g^2} \text{tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4g^2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \text{tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{g^2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \text{tr} F_{\mu\rho} F_{\nu\sigma} F^{\nu\sigma} \right. \\ \left. + \bar{\psi} (i \not{D} - m) \psi - \frac{1}{4} \theta^{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi} F_{\mu\nu} (i \not{D} - m) \psi - \frac{i}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\rho F_{\rho\mu} D_\nu \psi \right]$$

with $D_\mu \psi \equiv \partial_\mu \psi - iA_\mu \psi$ and $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i[A_\mu, A_\nu]$.

4. Charge in noncommutative QED

The only couplings in addition to (2.4) of the noncommutative gauge boson $\hat{A}_\mu$ to a matter field $\hat{\Psi}$ compatible with the non-commutative gauge transformation (2.2) are $\partial_\mu \hat{\Psi} - i\hat{\Psi} \star \hat{A}_\mu$ and $\partial_\mu \hat{\Psi} + i[\hat{A}_\mu \star \hat{\Psi}]$. It thus appears that only $U(1)$ charges 1, -1 , 0 are possible. (The latter possibility shows how a neutral particle can couple to an abelian gauge field in a noncommutative setting.) We should of course consider physical fields $\hat{a}_\mu^{(n)}(x)$. Let Q be the generator of $U(1)$ (charge operator), e a coupling constant and $\psi^{(n)}$ a field for a particle of charge $q^{(n)}$. Then $A_\mu = eQa_\mu(x)$ and $\hat{A}_\mu \star \hat{\psi}^{(n)} = eq^{(n)}\hat{a}_\mu^{(n)}(x) \star \hat{\psi}^{(n)}$, since the Seiberg-Witten map $\hat{A}_\mu$ depends explicitly on Q . In ordinary QED there is only one photon, i.e., there is no need for a label (n) on a_μ . Here, however, we have a separate $\hat{a}_\mu^{(n)}$ for every charge $q^{(n)}$ in the theory, because due to the $\star$ -commutator in the transformation of $\hat{a}_\mu^{(n)}$ it is not possible to absorb $q^{(n)}$ in a redefinition of $\hat{a}_\mu^{(n)}$. We can have any charge now, but it appears that we have too many degrees of freedom. This is not the case, however, since all $\hat{a}_\mu^{(n)}$ are local functions of the correct number of classical gauge fields a_μ via the Seiberg-Witten map (2.6).

5. Construction of the Seiberg-Witten map

In the Abelian case the Seiberg-Witten map is known explicitly for any Poisson structure $\theta(x)$ and corresponding Kontsevich $\star$ -product [5]. The construction is based on equivalent star products $\star, \star'$ that are quantizations of Poisson structures θ and $\theta' = \theta(1 + F\theta)^{-1}$. There is also a path-integral formulation based on noncommutative Wilson lines [7]. The non-Abelian case is technically more involved and only formally related to the Abelian case. For a cohomological approach based on the consistency relation (2.9) see [8].

6. Finite gauge transformations and noncommutative vector bundle

The infinitesimal gauge parameter $\hat{\lambda} \equiv \hat{\lambda}_{[a]}$ can be promoted to a full finite noncommutative gauge transformation $\hat{g}_{[a]} = \exp_{\star}(\delta_{\lambda}) \star \exp_{\star}(-\delta_{\lambda} + i\hat{\lambda}_{[a]})$ corresponding to a group element $g = e^{i\lambda}$. The consistency relation (2.9) now becomes a “noncommutative group law” [9]

$$\widehat{g1}_{[a_{g2}]} \star \widehat{g2}_{[a]} = \widehat{g1 \cdot g2}_{[a]}, \quad (6.1)$$

with the gauge transformed gauge potential a_{g2} in the first factor. The $\hat{g}_{[a]}$ can be used as transition functions in the construction of noncommutative vector bundles, which are the underlying mathematical structure of the noncommutative gauge theories that we have been considering [9].

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank B. Jurčo, J. Madore, L. Möller, S. Schraml and J. Wess for fruitful collaboration and P. Aschieri and W. Behr for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] N. Seiberg, E. Witten, JHEP **9909**, 032 (1999) [hep-th/9908142].
- [2] J. Madore, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, J. Wess, Eur. Phys. J. C **16**, 161 (2000) [hep-th/0001203];
B. Jurčo, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, J. Wess, Eur. Phys. J. C **17**, 521 (2000) [hep-th/0006246].
- [3] A. A. Bichl, J. M. Grimstrup, L. Popp, M. Schweda, R. Wulkenhaar, hep-th/0102103.
- [4] B. Jurčo, L. Möller, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, J. Wess, Eur. Phys. J. C **21**, 383 (2001) [hep-th/0104153].
- [5] B. Jurčo, P. Schupp, Eur. Phys. J. C **14**, 367 (2000) [hep-th/0001032];
B. Jurčo, P. Schupp, J. Wess, Nucl. Phys. B **584**, 784 (2000) [hep-th/0005005];
B. Jurčo, P. Schupp, J. Wess, Nucl. Phys. B **604**, 148 (2001) [hep-th/0102129].
- [6] A. Bichl, J. Grimstrup, H. Grosse, L. Popp, M. Schweda, R. Wulkenhaar, JHEP **0106**, 013 (2001) [hep-th/0104097].
- [7] K. Okuyama, JHEP **0003**, 016 (2000) [hep-th/9910138].
- [8] D. Brace, B. L. Cerchiai, A. F. Pasqua, U. Varadarajan, B. Zumino, JHEP **0106**, 047 (2001) [hep-th/0105192]; D. Brace, B. L. Cerchiai, B. Zumino, hep-th/0107225.
- [9] B. Jurčo, P. Schupp, J. Wess, hep-th/0106110.